

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,618	08/25/2006	Berndt Cramer	10191/4522	6299
26646 KENYON & F	7590 XENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD	WAY	DINH, BACH T		
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/18/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/552,618	CRAMER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BACH T. DINH	1795		

	BACH T. DINH	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing.	date of the final rejection.					
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the self or this period of the self of the self or the self or the self of the self or the self or the self of the self of the self or the self of the self	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ol> <li>\( \)\) The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, to         (a) \( \)\] They raise new issues that would require further cor         (b) \( \)\] They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below         (c) \( \)\] They are not deemed to place the application in better         appeal; and/or         (d) \( \)\] They present additional claims without canceling a continuous continuous continuous.</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying to				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, , ,					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_			
7. Meropurposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed. <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-13, 15-23, 25-29, 32, 35 and 37-41</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but please see continuation sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						
/Nam X Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1753						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

The amendment filed on 12/27/2010 will not be entered because the amended claim now requires the constant current source is "configured to be set to at least two values of the pump current and for alternating operation including ON phases and OFF phases, with the during of the ON phases and OFF phases being specified" is new issue not previously considered because the previous claim only requires one configuration for the constant current source.

With respect to Applicant's amendment, the argument is not persuasive because it is drawn to the amendment that introduced new issue not previously considered.

However, even if Applicants arguments are given full consideration, the arguments are not persuasive for the following reasons. Firstly, the interpretation of the word predefine is consistent with its ordinary meaning; furthermore, the originally filled specification does not provide a specific definition for the word 'predefine'. Therefore, Applicant's assertion that the interpretation given in the Office Action as unreasonably broad is not supported. Furthermore, Applicant's assertion "if a person must operate a device (as in Metrich) in order to determine a quantity (the number of ON phases and OFF phases) then it is unreasonably to consider that quantity to be predefined with respect to the device' is not related to the disclosure of Metrich nor is it related to the content of the Office Action for Metrich does not want to measure the quantity that is related to the number of ON phases and OFF phases.

Secondly, Examiner did not equate "a variable cyclic ratio" to the number of ON phases or OFF phases; it is the variable cyclic ratio that sets the number, which also includes the number one, of ON phases or OFF phases of the applied current. Additionally, the application of current, in ON or OFF phases, is controlled by the variable cylic ratio, which is controlled by the measurement signal delivered by the measurement cell; therefore, the ON or OFF phases of the applied current is determined before the application of current due to the measurement signal.

Thirdly, Applicant asserted that the measurement signal is obtained continuously; the assertion is correct; however, it is unrelated to the content of the Office Action is pertained to the measurement signal cited in the Office Action is pertained to the measurement signal generated by the measurement cell (3:9-12), which is not the same as the average value of current at each instant.

Thus, Examiner maintains the position that current claim is anticipated by Metrich.